

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES
PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 1871

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-104)

On October 16, 2007, the Panel transferred 2 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. *See* 528 F.Supp.2d 1339 (J.P.M.L. 2007). Since that time, 1,619 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Cynthia M Rufe.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and assigned to Judge Rufe.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for the reasons stated in the order of October 16, 2007, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Cynthia M Rufe.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

Sally Litch

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Jul 19, 2011

**CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES
PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 1871

SCHEDULE CTO-104 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
--------------------	--------------------	-----------------------	----------------------------

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

DC	1	11-01111	FASULO v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC
----	---	----------	-------------------------------

FLORIDA NORTHERN

FLN	4	10-00551	HENDERSON v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION
-----	---	----------	---

FLORIDA SOUTHERN

FLS	9	10-81581	Lawrimore et al v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation
-----	---	----------	---

ILLINOIS SOUTHERN

ILS	3	11-00471	Adecock et al vs. GlaxoSmithKline LLC
-----	---	----------	---------------------------------------

KENTUCKY WESTERN

KYW	3	10-00721	Plappert v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation et al
-----	---	----------	--

LOUISIANA MIDDLE

LAM	3	11-00439	Hebert et al v. GlaxoSmithKline
-----	---	----------	---------------------------------

MINNESOTA

MN	0	11-01569	Wang v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation
MN	0	11-01570	Hawhee v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation
MN	0	11-01571	McKinney v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation
MN	0	11-01734	Schoenheide v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation
MN	0	11-01738	Cataldi v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation
MN	0	11-01741	Stephan v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation
MN	0	11-01742	Kennedy v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation et al

NEVADA

NV 2 11-01093 Lacombe v. Smithkline Beecham Corporation dba
Glaxosmithkline